



Association of Academic Health Centers®

Leading institutions that serve society

Graduate Medical Education in the Context of the Nation's Healthcare Workforce

**Presentation at Bloomberg Government Event
November 13, 2014**

Steven A. Wartman, MD, PhD, MACP
President/CEO
Association of Academic Health Centers

Overview

- GME
 - IOM report on GME released last July
 - Brief History/Context
- Workforce
 - Growing question as to accountability to the American public for meeting the nation's workforce needs

Why is GME Important?

EDUCATION AND SERVICE

What is GME?

- Graduate medical education (GME) refers to the period of training following medical school necessary to become a licensed physician provider
- Ranges from 3 – 8 years
- Hospital-based
- Medicare is the largest payer

Why is GME important?

- It determines the nation's output of physicians
- It trains the next generation of physicians
- It is in the public's interests

Brief history of GME - 1

- Concept of “house physician,” “intern,” and “resident” began with the rise of early academic teaching hospitals around the end of the 19th century (led by Johns Hopkins)
- The idea was to train unsalaried clinician scientists to advance teaching and research
- As medicine developed in the 20th century, these positions became uniquely necessary for hospitals to function in order to provide services to patients

Brief history of GME - 2

- As a result, unlike medical schools which are based in universities, GME is based in teaching hospitals
- Led by the medical specialty boards, residency review committees (RRCs) in each specialty accredited programs based on standards set by these boards
- An annual “Match” coordinates the selection of residents

Relatively recent GME milestones

- 1983 Medicare introduced the prospective payment system and made the distinction between DME and IME
- 1997 the Balanced Budget Act reduced payments over 5 years and capped the number of positions supported by Medicare at 1996 levels

What is happening with GME now?

IOM REPORT AND RESPONSE

State of affairs today for GME

- xxx,xxx positions at x,xxx teaching hospitals
 - Just under 100,000 are supported by Medicare
- Federal GME funding is about \$16 billion dollars/year
 - Medicare pays about \$9.5 billion
 - \$3 billion for direct medical education to pay the salaries of residents and supervising physicians (DME)
 - \$6.5 billion to subsidize the higher costs that hospitals incur for training programs (IME)
 - Medicaid spending is about \$2 billion
 - Veterans Health Administration and HRSA \$4 billion
- States support GME around \$4 billion from Medicaid

Quick Summary of IOM Report - 1

1. Maintain current Medicare support
2. Build a GME policy and financing infrastructure
 - Create a GME Policy Council at HHS
 - Establish a GME Center at CMS
3. Create a single Medicare GME fund
 - Operational fund for approved positions
 - Transformation fund for initiatives and new positions

Quick Summary of IOM Report - 2

4. Modernize Medicare GME payment methodology
 - Replace IME and DME with one payment
 - Set a national per-resident amount
 - Redirect GME funds to the sponsoring organizations
 - Implement performance-based payments
5. Maintain Medicaid GME at state's discretion

Landscape responses

- How are others responding and why that matters (/is short sighted)

AAHC Response to IOM

- GME reform must be considered in the context of national health workforce policy
 - Not in isolation
- National health workforce policy must be driven by what makes sense for the future of health care
 - Not what is desirable for a particular discipline or industry

What will Impact GME in the future?

WORK FORCE, PAYMENT, DELIVERY

Factors that are generally overlooked in the GME discussion

- Impact of the professions outside of medicine
- The new physics of patient care
- Effect of machines
- The evolving payment system

“Simply adding more doctors to the current mix is not a thoughtful solution to workforce challenges.”¹

1. From Pizzo et al. The Future of Graduate Medical Education: Is There a Path Forward? Forthcoming chapter in *The Transformation of Academic Health Centers*, Elsevier, May 2015

Some concerns

- Mismatch between the health needs of the population and specialty make-up of the physician workforce
- Persistent geographic maldistribution of physicians
- Insufficient diversity of the physician population
- Gap between new physician's knowledge and skills and the competencies required
- Lack of fiscal transparency

The future workforce - people

- Luddite horse video here

Machines in the workforce

- How many and what types of humans will they replace?
- How will they change the roles of physicians and other care providers?

The new “physics” of patient care

$$E = mc^3$$



The “New Physics” of Patient Care

- c^1 = Care is moving from a *fixed setting* to *wherever* the patient may be
- c^2 = Care by teams
- c^3 = Care by large data sets

Some other features of the new physics of patient care

- *Real-time* continuous monitoring of patients
- *Big clinical data sets*: how to manage and operationalize them
- The Pandora's Box of technology and new entrepreneurial income streams
- Patient empowerment
 - Shifting from provider control to “crowd control”

The evolving payment system

Impact on trainees

“The most important factor affecting residency training is the quality of patient care that house officers observe.”

- Kenneth Ludmerer in *let me HEAL*, Oxford University Press, 2015

**We know that payment models
impact physician incentives and
care delivery models**

The problem of paying healthcare providers

“There are many mechanisms for paying physicians; some are good and some are bad. The three worst are fee-for-service, capitation, and salary.”¹

1. Robinson JC. Theory and Practice in the Design of Physician Payment Incentives. *Milbank Q* 2001;79 (2)

Short and long term models

- Short-term: Some kind of Blended/Hybrid model
 - PMPM with FFS carve outs
 - Shared savings (for ACOs)
 - Partial capitation
- Long-term: more *at-risk* for populations
- What does this mean for trainees?

Bottom line:

**We need to think *differently* about
the health care workforce**

- In regards to workforce projections
- In regards to resident education

New model for GME is needed



Bloomberg Gov

So where do we go next?

AAHC Plans

- Serve as a convener to develop relevant questions and foster debate
 - Bring all relevant stakeholders to the table to talk about solutions
 - While we can't get Congress to pass a Bill tomorrow, we can start to put the pieces together to eventually bring about necessary reform
- Continue to support funding for the National Health Workforce Commission (or some equivalent)

Comments and thoughts?